

Per Jonsson born February 1692. [1] Died 1777-10-27 in Backa, Norra Råda fs, Värmlands län. [1] Cause of death: Weakness of old age. Buried 1777-11-03 in Norra Råda fs, Värmlands län.

[1] 176. After the lawsuit was settled, Per Jonsson in Backa appealed to all the other customs officers, well-respected Lars Jonsson Wallmén's mortuary stakeholders, claiming that, like his father Jon Persson, he and he had paid 20 Rd ct to redeem the 1/18 in Backa he now living, with the condition that Per Jonsson should be allowed to keep the same home part when he repaid to Wallmén what he had released from his own funds in the 113 dlr kmt laid out for the same home part, he must therefore be left to repay to Wallmén's mortuary what the father-in-law Wallmén paid for the same part of the home, on which he had to take advantage of the considerable improvements he had made on the same part of the home at his own expense since he took care of it, to which the customs officer's widow's virtuous matron Helena Fernelia and her son the customs officer Jonas Wallmén replied that they did not dispute Per Jonsson with his father displaced the same money to thereby help him redeem the same property for their (?) (?) as sku lle such in settlement of the inheritance come, but the other sterbhus stakeholders said, that the property must belong to the sterbhuset to as only Wallmén commissioned for him the lawyer and the law stands after the court there on 10.2 1731 issued certificate, refusing to deny to return to him the åenningar that also its

this and more as stated above took the court into consideration for reasonably tried to dismiss. As this disputed property in Backa alone has been redeemed to be in the name of the late Lars Jonsson Wallmén, which for him was both legally offered and the legal stands were according to the court's notified and discovered certificate, whereupon and because Per Jonsson at the inventory establishment in the said Lars Jonsson Wallmén's mortuary, himself signed not otherwise stated these by him and its father-in-law to the same household redeemed shifted 20 Rd ct in spmel claim, for that reason and as mentioned Lars Jonsson Wallmén neither could be proved on the plaintiff's side have on him transported his property further than that he before (?) use the same part of the home and to be allowed to keep it in settlement of his wife's right of inheritance in the property because the mother's extensive, therefore the right reasonably does not find Per Jonsson to be justified in keeping anything more of the same part than can thereby result in his wife's right of inheritance as far as others may receive full consideration of the estate's other real property, see as the other Wallmén's mortuary stakeholders replaced him with the deferred 20 Rd ct redeemed for the same home section as well as all the improvements after the surveyor's reconsideration that Per Jonsson may prove to have made there since he received the same home section over what he was required by law to do and